(b) if so, whether there is any proposal to reconsider the New Drug Policy?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPAR1MENT.OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINIS TRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAI-CHANDRA SINGH); (a) Most sections of the drug industry have welcomed and .commended the recently announced mea sures for rationalisation quality control and growth of drugs and pharmaceutical industry. ---

(b) No, Sir.

Profiteering on drugs

1510. SHRI RAFIQUE ALAM; Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a drug company has been charging 1366 percent higher o.a a drug than the Government fixed price since last six years as reported in the Times of India' editorial of 20th April, 1987.

(b) if* so, what is the name of the company alongwith the name of the drug;

(c) names of other drugs and formulations on which higher prices have been charged p.longwith percentage in each case;

(d) what was the year-wi3e production of each drug during the last three years ending 31st March, 1987; and

(e) names of the formulations based on each of these drugs alongwith ths prices fixed and charged on each as well as sales turnover of each during the last three years, yearwise and percentage increase in each case?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. IAI-CHANDRA SINGH): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. M|s. Hoechst (India) Limited is charging a price of Rs. 24,725|kg. against the price of Rs. l,81020|kg. fixed by Ihe Government for bulk drug Baralgan Ketone due to stay orders granted by the Delhi High Court.

(c)- to (e) To the extent information is available names of the companies who are charging their own prices due to stay orders by various High Courts alongwith the names of bulk drugs|formulations concerned are given in the attached Statement *(See* below). Details of production are not available. Sales turnover of formulations is not monitored. The details of prices fixed by the Government and those being charged by the companies will be collected and laid on the Table of the Rajya Sabha.

Statement

Sl. No.	Name of the Company	Name of the Bulk Drug/Formulation
1	2	3
I. Delhi High Court :		
1.	M/s. Glindia (formerly known as) M/s. Glaxo Labs. Ltd. . . .	Betamethasone and its formulations.
II. Supreme Court of India :		
1.	M/s. Geofferey Manners . . .	Benzathine Penicilline and its formulations.
2.	M/s. Pfizer Ltd.	Oxytetracycline, PAS and its salts and formulations based thereon.

*

1	2	3
	3. M/s. Hoechst India Limited . . .	Baralgan, Pyrolidine, Methyl Tetracycline Pheniramine, Glybenclamine, Frusemid and formulations.
	4. M/s. Parke Davis	Retention price of Chloramphenicol.
	5. M/s. Ethnor Limited	Tetramesol and its formulations.
	6. M/s. Anil Starch	Dextrose Anhydrous
	7. M/s. Tamil Nadu Dhadha . .	Calcium Lactate & its formulations.
	8. M/s. Merch Sharp & Dohme . .	Dexamethasone & its formulations
	9. M/s. Griffon Lab	Procaine Penicilline and formulations.
III.	*Bombay High Court :*	
	1. M/s. Parke Davis	Multivitamin formulations & categorisation.
	2. M/s. Pfizer Limited	Protine Formulations.
	3, M/s. Warner Hindustan Ltd. . .	Multivitamin formulations, Vitamins and certain other formulations and categorisation.
	4. M/s. Fulford India Ltd. . . .	Gentamycin formulations.
	5. M/s. Duphar Interfran Ltd. . .	Silver Sulphadiazine and its formulations.
	6. M/s. John Wveth & Bros. . .	Formulations.
	7 M/s. Parke Davis	Ferradol formulations.
	8. M/s. Carter Wallace . . .	Diovo - formulations.
IV.	*Calcutta High Court :*	
	1. M/s. Reckitt & Colman Ltd. . . .	Disprin formulations.

Patalganga factory of Reliance Industry

1511. SHRl CHIMANBHA1 MEH1A:
SHRI RAMSINGHBHAI PATALIYABHAI RATHVAKOLI;

Will the Minister of 1NDUSTRV be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Gro\er Committee reported sibout the over production and existence of some extra machines at Patalganija factory of Reliance Industries;

(b) whether the Grover Committee report wan reviewed by Government and if so, what are the conclusions of the review regarding the import of imautbo-i ised goods and violation ot. licensing capacity norms of filament yarn production; and

(c) whether it is also a fact thai Department of Industrial Development had also appointed some cofnmiUee in this regard, if so, what are the findii-.gs of this committee?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNA-CHALAM); (a) to (c) The entire matter including the reports of the techaical